AA2018-3

AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

SCHOOL JUDICIAL ORGANIZATION KIMIGAFUCHI GAKUEN (SOJO UNIVERSITY) J A 5 3 0 4

March 29, 2018



The objective of the investigation conducted by the Japan Transport Safety Board in accordance with the Act for Establishment of the Japan Transport Safety Board and with Annex 13 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation is to determine the causes of an accident and damage incidental to such an accident, thereby preventing future accidents and reducing damage. It is not the purpose of the investigation to apportion blame or liability.

> Kazuhiro Nakahashi Chairman, Japan Transport Safety Board

Note:

This report is a translation of the Japanese original investigation report. The text in Japanese shall prevail in the interpretation of the report.

AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

AIRCRAFT DAMAGE CAUSED BY BELLY LANDING SCHOOL JUDICAL ORGANIZATION KIMIGAFUCHI GAKUEN (SOJO UNIVERSITY), BEECHCRAFT 58, JA5304 AT AROUND 10:26, JUNE 29, 2017 NAGASAKI AIRPORT

February 9, 2018

Adopted by the Japan Transport Safety Board

Chairman	Kazuhiro Nakahashi
Member	Toru Miyashita
Member	Toshiyuki Ishikawa
Member	Yuichi Marui
Member	Keiji Tanaka
Member	Miwa Nakanishi

1. PROCESS AND PROGRESS OF INVESTIGATION

1.1 Summary of	On Thursday, June 29, 2017, a Beechcraft 58, registered	
the Accident	JA5304, operated by School Judicial Organization KIMIGAFUCHI	
	GAKUEN (Sojo University) made a belly landing which caused	
	damages to the aircraft at Nagasaki Airport during a training	
	flight.	
1.2 Outline of	On June 29, 2017, the Japan Transport Safety Board (JSTB)	
the Accident	designated an investigator-in-charge and one other investigator to	
Investigation	investigate this accident.	
	An accredited representative of United States of America, as	
	the State of Design and Manufacture, participated in the	
	investigation.	
	Comments were invited from the parties relevant to the cause	
	of the accident and the relevant state.	

2. FACTUAL INFORMATION

2.1 History of	According to the statements of a captain (hereinafter referred
the Flight	to as "the Captain"), a trainee (hereinafter referred to as "the
	Trainee"), an observer (hereinafter referred to as "the Observer")
	and a ground controller of Nagasaki airport traffic control tower
	(hereinafter referred to as "the Ground") and also based on the
	communication records between the aircraft (hereinafter referred
	to as "the Aircraft") and a local controller of Nagasaki airport traffic
	control tower (hereinafter referred to as "the Tower") and the radar
	track recordings by the Nagasaki terminal control, the flight up to
	the accident was summarized below;
	At 09:12 Japan Standard Time (JST; UTC + 9 hours; unless
	otherwise stated, all times are indicated in JST using a 24-hour
	clock) on June 29, 2017, the Trainee controlled a Beechcraft 58
	registered JA5304, operated by School Judicial Organization
	KIMIGAFUCHI GAKUEN (Sojo University) (hereinafter referred
	to as "the University") to takeoff from the Kumamoto Airport for a
	promotion training to a captain (provide knowledge and flight skill
	required to operate by a single pilot as the captain of the same type
	of the Aircraft at the University) as the Trainee sat in the left front
	seat and the Captain in the right front seat as an instructor and
	the Observer in a rear seat to observe the training.
	After the Aircraft performed an air-work in the civil training
	and testing area at south of Nagasaki Airport (hereinafter referred
	to as "the Airport"), the Aircraft made an instrument approach
	training to the Airport and continued to commence Touch and Go
	Landing practice (hereinafter referred to as "TGL") on the runway
	14. The Aircraft made normal TGL twice, then made a short field
	TGL. The control of the Trainee was very stable, but because he
	landed longer than usual short field landing, the Captain decided
	for the Trainee to do a short field landing again as a full stop
	landing.
	At the downwind leg, the Captain explained the Trainee why
	the Trainee landed long beyond the aim point at the previous short
	field landing, how to correct it and others. The Trainee was putting
	the procedures and others in order from the approach of the short

field landing till the touchdown.

The Observer heard the call that the Captain made when passing the abeam of the runway threshold (hereinafter referred to as "the Abeam") on the downwind leg, which should normally be called by a pilot (the Trainee), but right after this, he could not see whether the landing gear-down procedures was done or not, which also normally should be done by the Trainee.

The Trainee set flaps in full down position when entering the final approach, but he did not confirm the completion of the Landing Check List which should be done at the time to set the flaps in full down position and when become below the altitude of 500 ft Above Ground Level (hereinafter referred to as "AGL"), and did not recheck the landing gear-down and no landing gear warning horn which should be done at the altitude of 200 ft AGL, because his attention was focused on how to aim the touchdown point and how to control the Aircraft for a short field landing.

The attention of the Captain was very low, because he was satisfied with the very stable control of the Aircraft by the Trainee.

So, he did not aware that the Trainee missed the confirmation to complete the Landing Check List and the recheck of the landing gear-down and others.

At 10:25:07, the landing gear warning horn was recorded in the communication records between the Tower and the Aircraft which was about to enter the final approach.

The Captain felt the main wheels touched down almost at the predetermined position (near little past the touch down marking which is approximately 300 m from the runway threshold). Then, a mediastina crunch sound of the propellers hitting the ground was heard and they did not understand what was happening, and the Aircraft begun to deviate to the left and stopped on the runway.

The Trainee had feelings that main landing wheels touched down near the intended position, but then heard the noise. He could not do anything because he did not understand the situation until the Aircraft stopped.

The Observer confirmed that the Trainee set the flaps in full down position at the final approach. However, he did not have certain memory of whether the Trainee did recheck the landing gear-down and no landing gear warning horn or not, in addition, he had feelings of the main landing wheels touched down but no feelings of the main landing wheels rolling. At the next instance, the Aircraft leaned to left and the noise started, then he heard the voice of the Captain like "Did it".

At 10:26:27, the Captain reported to the Tower that "We are now gear up landing, stop on the runway". The landing gear warning horn was recorded in this communication records.

The Captain did not have memory of touching the Landing Gear Handle till the Aircraft stopped. After it stopped, as the emergency shutdown procedures, he ordered the Trainee to cut off the mixture, battery and others in order to shut off the fuel and power supply and evacuated from the Aircraft after his reporting their evacuation to the Tower. At the time of his evacuating from the Aircraft, he saw the Landing Gear Handle at the down position.

After the Aircraft stopped on the runway, the Ground was using crash phone to report the situation to the relevant parties.



After the accident, because the propeller lever to change the position which should be following procedure of the landing geardown was at the predetermined high rpm position and the Landing Gear Handle was at the down-position, therefore, the Captain and the Trainee guessed that the Trainee lowered the landing gear at

	the Abeam just like usual.		
	The accident occurred on the runway of the Airport		
	(N32°55'29", E129°54'18") at around 10:26 on June 29, 2017.		
2.2 Injuries to	None		
persons			
2.3 Damage to	(1) Extent of damages to the aircraft; Substantial		
Aircraft	(2) Damages to the Aircraft Components		
	(1) Nose;		
	Nose landing gear door; Scratch marks		
	② Propeller and engine;		
	Right and left propellers; deformed backward		
	③ Fuselage and main wings		
	Outer skin on bottom of the fuselage; damage		
	Keel; deformed and damage		
	Right and left flaps; deformed and damages (the left flap		
	suffered bigger damages)		
	Step; Scratch Marks (extensive)		
	Inner doors of the right and left landing gears; scratch marks		
	(in small scale)		
	Actuator rod to operate the inner door of the left landing		
	gears; deformed		
	New Step Step of the Aircraft		
	Photo 1 Damages of the Step		

	Propeller; deformed Actuator Rod to open(close]		
	Inding gear: deformed		
	Flap; Deformed and Damaged Step; Scratch Mark		
	Photo 2 Damages of the front and rear		
	Nose landing rear door:		
	scratch marks		
	Inner door of the right landing gear; scratched Inner door of the left landing gear; scratch marks		
	Photo 3 Damages of the undersurface		
2.4 Personnel	(1) Captain Male, Age 68		
information	Airline transport pilot certificate (Airplane)June 27, 1986		
	Specific pilot competence certificate;		
	Expiration date of piloting capable period May 17, 2018		
	Type rating for multiple engine (land)October 7,1972		
	Class 1 aviation medical certificate: validity July 10, 2018		
	Total flight time18,760 hours 34 minutes		
	Total flight time on the type of aircraft 477 hours 47 minutes		
	Flight time in the last 30 days 19 hours 55 minutes		
	(2) Trainee Male, Age 63		
	Commercial pilot certificate (Airplane) January 12, 1982		
	Specific pilot competence certificate;		
	Expiration date of piloting capable period December 21, 2018		
	Type rating for multiple engine (land) January 12, 1982		

	Instrument flight certificate (Airplane)	April 13, 1983	
	Class 1 aviation medical certificate: validity November 11, 2017		
	Total flight time 10,2	205 hours 56 minutes	
	Total flight time on the type of aircraft	6 hours 30 minutes	
	Flight time in the last 30 days	6 hours 30 minutes	
2.5 Aircraft	(1) Type; Beechcraft 58		
information	Serial Number; TH-1610		
	Date of Manufacture;	November 27, 1989	
	Airworthiness certificate;	No. Dai-2017-152	
	Validity;	June 7, 2018	
	Total flight time 10,9	42 hours 19 minutes	
	(2) When the accident occurred, the weight a	nd the position of the	
	center of gravity of the Aircraft were estimated	d to have been within	
	the allowable range.		
2.6	Accident special weather report observation by the Nagasaki		
Meteorological	Airport; 10:33		
information	Wind direction 150°; Wind velocity 10 kt; Visibility 15 km or more		
	Cloud Amount 1/8 to 2/8; Type Cumulus; Cloud base 2,000 ft		
	Amount 3/8 to 4/8; Type Cumulus; Cloud base 3,000 ft		
	Amount 5/8 to 7/8; Type Alto Cumulus; Cloud base 7,000 ft		
	Temperature 26°C; Dew point 22°C		
	Altimeter setting (QNH) 29.92 inHg		
2.7 Accident	The accident site was on the runway of	being approximately	
Site	10 m to the left from the runway centerline and approximately 680		
	m inside of the threshold. The Aircraft was almost lined up the		
	runway, as the landing gears were retracted a	and the inner doors of	
	the both landing gear were partially opened,	and it stopped on the	
	runway in the state that the landing gears we	ere slightly extended.	
	A CONTRACTOR		
	Photo 4 Situation of the Accident Site		



throttle is retarded to below specified value or the flaps are set in full down position, the landing gear warning horn (intermitted sound) will be activated and the landing gear warning light will flash.

At the time of investigation, it was confirmed that there were no problem to activate a warning horn as changing the flaps position and throttle position with landing gear up.



Photo 5 Cockpit

③ Actuation of landing gear system

When an aircraft touched down and the main landing gear strut shortened more than the specified value, the safety switches equipped on both of the main landing gear struts will be activated and detect the situation of the Aircraft of being on the ground. When either one of safety switch detects an aircraft of being on the ground or both throttles will be retarded below specified value, the electrical circuit of the landing gear retraction will be opened, therefore if the Landing Gear Handle is pulled up, the landing gear would not be retracted.

When an aircraft is an airborne, the safety switch detects the aircraft of being mid-air and either one of throttle is at more than a specified value and the Landing Gear Handle is raised, the electrical circuit of the landing gear retraction will be closed, therefore the landing gear will be retracted.

At the time of investigation, it is confirmed that there are no abnormality in the actuations of the landing gear system and down lock function of the Aircraft by retracting and extending

the landing gear as changing conditions of each safety switch and
each throttle position.
(According to the maintenance records of the Aircraft, the
maintenance work and the following flight test on June 3, 2017,
confirmed the normal operations of whole landing gear systems
including the safety device on the Landing Gear Handle, the
landing gear warning device and the extending and retracting
mechanism of the landing gear.)
(2) Regulations concerning the flight training (excerpts)
The 3 rd Volume of STP (Standard Training Procedure Manual)
of the University have the following contents (Excerpts; JSTB adds $% \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}$
() to explain terms and underlines to emphasize.);
① General
Operation concepts of Beechcraft 58 is a Single Pilot.
② Aiming Point and Touchdown point
Aiming Point is t <u>he point at 322.5 m from the runway</u>
threshold in principle. For the case of short field landing,
Aiming Point should be the touchdown point.
③ Normal Landing
a. Landing gear-shall be lowered at the abeam of the runway
threshold on the downwind leg and "Three Green" lights shall
be confirmed.
b. <u>Set Propeller lever at High RPM.</u>
c. After lowering the landing gear, promptly start Landing
Check List (except flaps).
d. Lower flaps "Down" at the base leg then <u>complete Landing</u>
<u>Check List.</u>
e. Call out "500" $\underline{below\ 500\ ft\ AGL}$ and $\underline{confirm\ the\ completion}$
<u>of Landing Check List</u> .
f. <u>Near 200 ft AGL</u> , <u>recheck the landing gear-down</u> , confirm
the landing clearance, no landing gear warning horn with
point and call out.
④ Short Field Landing (Same as normal landing except the
following procedures)
a. Predict landing to the short runway with obstructions on
the final approach.

b. Make the final turn at the same altitude of normal landing
and when heading to the final approach, set flaps "DN (Down
Position)" and speed at VTH (Approach Speed at Threshold).
(Establish 3.5 degrees approach path by using the decrease
airspeed of about 15kts)

c. <u>Control approach path by power on the final approach.</u>

d. Retard throttle slowly at the threshold as rising the nose gradually, touch down at the predetermined point with the minimum speed

(3) Cases with the damages to the landing gear system due to the belly landing

In the aircraft accident investigation reports in Japan, the process of the accident cases which were resulting in belly landing because of touch down with no landing gear or unintentional gear up during landing roll. And damaged parts of the landing gear in each cases were as follows;

	Process	Year	Damaged parts of the
			landing gear system
1	After touching down	2002	Main landing gear doors;
	with no landing		damaged, Actuator rods of
	gear, lowered the		the nose landing gear doors;
	landing gear		deformed
2	After the normal	1996	Retract rods for nose and left
	touching down,		main landing gears: broken
	unintentional gear		Nose and main landing gears
	up during landing		doors; damaged
	roll		
3	Same as above	2008	Shear pin of retract rod for
			right main landing gear;
			broken,
			Retract rod for left main
			landing gear; deformed

Table Accidents with damages to the landing gear system

3. ANALYSIS

3.1 Involvement	None
of weather	
3.2 Involvement	Yes
of pilot	
3.3 Involvement	None
of equipment	
3.4 Analysis of	(1) Timing to lower the landing gear based on the damages of the
known items	Aircraft
	As described in 2.8 (3)(1), according to the cases which lowered
	the landing gear immediately after the touch-down with no landing
	gear, only the actuator rods to open inner doors were deformed. On
	the other hand, as described in 2 and 3 , according to the cases
	which was caused by unintentional gear up during landing roll
	after the normal touch-down, because an aircraft was settling
	faster than retracting the landing gear, retract rods which actuate
	landing gear to be up had the weight load of an aircraft, therefore
	the retract rods were compressed, broken, deformed or the shear
	pins were broken.
	It is probable that because the damages of the Aircraft was
	almost same as the damages of $$ case, the landing gear was begun
	to extend after the Aircraft touched down with landing gear-up
	condition and inner doors of main landing gears which was about
	to open had contacted with the runway surface and suffered
	damages. And after this, it is probable that actuator rods were
	trying to open the door further, were compressed and deformed.
	Furthermore, because an extent of the scratch was in a small
	scale, it is probable that the landing gear started to be extended
	shortly before the Aircraft stopped.
	(2) Handling during the traffic pattern
	The Captain and the Trainee guessed that the landing gear was
	lowered at the Abeam, but since the landing gear warning horn was $% \left({{{\rm{A}}_{{\rm{B}}}} \right)$
	recorded in the communication records at the time of entering to
	the final approach, it is highly probable that the landing gear was
	not at the down lock position at that time.
	As the results of the Aircraft inspection, since there was no

abnormality in the landing gear system, it is probable that regarding why it was not at down lock position when entering to the final approach because the landing gear down procedures were not executed.

(3) Situation of belly landing

Since the Observer stated that the Aircraft leaned to left without feelings of the main landing wheels rolling after the touchdown, it is probable that after the Aircraft approached without extending the landing gear, the step and the flaps rear end touched the runway surface at first, then the step at the right side of the fuselage support the Aircraft, so the Aircraft leaned to the left, the left propeller touched down and then the right propeller touched down, made belly landing and suffered the damages to the Aircraft.

(4) Judgment and action taken by the Captain and the Trainee

It is probable that the Captain was instructing about a short field landing on the downwind leg.

Later, it is somewhat likely that because the Captain was making the Abeam call which a trainee as a pilot should make normally, the Trainee missed the timing to lower the landing gear following normal procedures.

Regarding why the Captain made the Abeam call which normally should be made by a trainee, it is somewhat likely that because instructions by the Captain from earlier continued up to near the Abeam or the Trainee was focusing on the instruction and could not make a normal call. And then, it is probable that because the Trainee was putting the procedures in order from the approach for a short field landing till the touchdown, he was distracted by this and forgot to lower the landing gear on the downwind leg.

Furthermore, regarding why the Captain and the Trainee guessed that the Trainee lowered the landing gear at the Abeam just like usual after the Accident, it is somewhat likely that they had confused their memories with the lowering the landing gear in the previous landing.

After lowering the flaps at the final approach, it is probable that because the attention of the Trainee was focused on how to aim the touchdown point and how to control the Aircraft for the short field landing, he forgot to confirm the completing of the Landing Check List and to recheck the landing gear-down during approach.

It is probable that because the Captain had a lot of reliability about the control of the Trainee since the Trainee was able to follow the instruction of the Captain, the Captain was less attentive to monitor the other handling of the Trainee than the controlling the Aircraft, he did not notice that the Trainee did not lower the landing gear, did not confirm the completion of Landing Check List and did not recheck the landing gear-down.

It is somewhat likely that because the Trainee did not have enough experience to control the same type of the aircraft, he was unfamiliar with the controlling the Aircraft, especially with how to correct the approach path by using power with the lowered flaps and the landing gear for a short field landing. It is somewhat likely that this unfamiliar side contributed to why the Trainee did not feel uncomfortable about power response and effectiveness and others when he continued the final approach with the no landing gear till the touchdown.

It is somewhat likely that regarding why the both of the Captain and the Trainee had stated that they felt the main landing gears made touchdown was because of the sensation caused by touching the ground by the steps and others.

Because the Captain had reported to the Tower about the Aircraft landed with landing gear up condition after the belly landing, it is possible that he confirmed the Landing Gear Handle position, and it is somewhat likely that he might move the Landing Gear Handle to down position during the confirmation.

Regarding why the landing gear warning horn which was estimated of being activated during the final approach was not acknowledged, just like they forgot to recheck the landing geardown, it is probable that because the minds of the Trainee was focusing on the control of the Aircraft and the Captain was losing his attentions.

(5) Prevention of similar accident occurrences

At the situation like the accident, in order to prevent the

4. PROBABLE CAUSES

It is probable that the accident occurred because the Aircraft touched down without extending the landing gears which resulted in a belly landing and suffered the damages to the Aircraft.

Regarding the reason why the Aircraft touched down without extending the landing gears, it is probable that it was caused by the followings.

The Captain did not notice that the Trainee did not lower the landing gears and did not recheck the landing gear-down, because the Captain was less attentive to monitor the other handling of the Trainee than the controlling the Aircraft.

The trainee was distracted by the short field landing procedures and the control of the Aircraft and forgot to lower the landing gear and re-confirm it.

5. SAFETY ACTION

The University implemented the following safety actions;

(1) Add remarks to the guideline provided by the University for the instructor to perform the promotion training to a captain.

① Minimize the instruction right before the takeoff or landing at the low altitude as least as possible and implement the training with safety priority while viewing the overall.

(2) Regarding recognitions of safety which the Instructor Under Training (IUT) should be already considered to obtain based on experiences, be on alert same as novice.

③ Make IUT aware an importance of basic like confirmation by using check list during the training.

(4) Make IUT aware regarding the landing gear warning horn in the training aircraft, it could be activated during a single engine training flight or the normal descent, because it is different from airliner and others which IUT had been experienced till then, when the horn is activated, IUT should identify and handle in accordance with specified procedures.

(2) The parts of Standard Training Procedure Manual which provided the confirmation procedure on the gear down procedure at the final approach were amended.

① After performing the Landing Check List by IUT or other trainee, instructor shall confirm its completion and record it by using reminder.

(2) At the final confirmation at 200 ft AGL, the confirmations shall be limited to the gear-down indication lights and ATC clearance.

(3) The safety actions were made sure for the relevant parties by the classroom study.