AA2019-9

# AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

JAPAN COAST GUARD J A 3 9 5 A

October 31, 2019



The objective of the investigation conducted by the Japan Transport Safety Board in accordance with the Act for Establishment of the Japan Transport Safety Board and with Annex 13 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation is to determine the causes of an accident and damage incidental to such an accident, thereby preventing future accidents and reducing damage. It is not the purpose of the investigation to apportion blame or liability.

> Nobuo Takeda Chairman Japan Transport Safety Board

Note:

This report is a translation of the Japanese original investigation report. The text in Japanese shall prevail in the interpretation of the report.

## AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION REPORT

### DAMAGE TO AIRFRAME DURING LANDING JAPAN COAST GUARD TEXTRON AVIATION 172S, JA395A AT CHITOSE AIRFIELD, JAPAN AROUND 13:22 JST, AUGUST 21, 2018

October 11, 2019

Adopted by the Japan Transport Safety Board

Chairman	Nobuo Takeda
Member	Toru Miyashita
Member	Yoshiko Kakishima
Member	Yuichi Marui
Member	Yoshikazu Miyazawa
Member	Miwa Nakanishi

#### 1. PROCESS AND PROGRESS OF THE AIRCRAFT ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION

1.1 Summary of	On Tuesday, August 21, 2018, a Textron Aviation 172S, registered JA395A,
the Accident	operated by Japan Coast Guard suffered damage to the airframe by the
	touchdown accompanying a severe impact when landed at Chitose airfield.
	There were two passengers on board other than the examinee (captain) and no
	one was injured.
1.2 Outline of	The Japan Transport Safety Board designated an investigator-in-charge
the Accident	and an investigator on August 22, 2018 to investigate this accident.
Investigation	The occurrence of the accident was notified to the United States of America
	as the State of Design and Manufacturer of the aircraft involved in this
	accident, however, the state did not designate an accredited representative.
	Comments on the draft report were invited from the parties relevant to the
	cause of this accident and the relevant State.

#### 2. FACTUAL INFORMATION

2.1 History of	According to the statements of the examinee, the instructor and the
the Flight	inspector of airman licensing, and flight data monitoring (FDM), the history of
	the flight is summarized as follows:
	The aircraft took off from Chitose airfield for Sapporo airfield at 11:57
	JST (JST: UTC+9 hours; unless otherwise noted, all times are indicated in JST
	in this report on a 24-hour clock) for the practical pilot competence examination
	flight associated with the rating change for pilot certificate, with the examinee
	sitting in the left pilot's seat as a captain, the instructor in the right pilot's seat
	and the inspector of airman licensing in the right rear seat. After completing
	examination in subjects associated with take-off and landing at Sapporo
	airfield, the aircraft conducted other examination subjects in civil training and

testing airspace, and then headed for Chitose airfield.

When reporting about the return of the flight to Chitose air base of Japan Coast Guard, the examinee was notified that Chitose airfield was in Instrument Meteorological Conditions (IMC).

After checking ATIS information of New Chitose airport adjacent to Chitose airfield, the examinee requested PAR\* 1 RWY 18L approach to air traffic control.

The examinee commenced the descent for Chitose airfield from a pressure altitude of 1,500 ft at an approach angle of  $2.7^{\circ}$  by PAR RWY 18L approach , had the runway in sight at a pressure altitude of about 500 ft and passed over the runway threshold (THR) at an airspeed of about 72 kt with full flaps.



The examinee considered a stable head wind at a velocity of a little stronger than 10 kt, kept the engine power to some extent, delayed the timing to close the throttle than usual and performed a flare maneuver\*2. The aircraft touched down on the main landing gear (the first touchdown) at an air speed of about 62 kt and bounced after the touchdown. The examinee strived to retain the landing attitude because he had previously experienced a similar case. The nose bounced by the subsequent touchdown (the second touchdown); however, the examinee continued the landing because he presumed that the bounce would be settled. The instructor also presumed that the bounce would be settled. However, the examinee executed a go-around and the instructor also called "go-around" because the nose bounced more severely at the following touchdown (the third time) than the second touchdown. The examinee applied the engine maximum power to establish climb attitude and retracted the flaps.

The instructor was feeling that the aircraft was making a stable approach and landing as usual, and presumed that the nose that unintentionally bounced at the second touchdown would be settled. However, he judged that the bounce at the third touchdown was abnormal and eventually called "goaround".

Then, the examinee requested air traffic control for radar vector and landed at Chitose airfield at around 13:58 by PAR RWY 18L approach again after holding over Kita-hiroshima City as instructed by air traffic control (see Figure 1).

The deformation on the outer skin of forward fuselage was found by the

<sup>\*1</sup> PAR (Precision Approach Radar) denotes a radar for precision approach that air traffic controllers use to guide aircraft to the point of touchdown on runway in three-dimensional way.

<sup>\*2</sup> Flare maneuver denotes a nose-up maneuver taken to reduce descent rate and speed at the time of touchdown.

	mechanic during the exterior inspection	on after the flight, and also the
	deformation and others were found on the	e stringer of left forward fuselage by
	the detailed inspection later	
	The accident occurred on runway	at Chitose airfield (42°47'56" N
	$141^{\circ}40'04''$ E) at around $13:22$ .	
2.2 Injuries to	None	
Persons		
2.3 Damage to	Extent of damage to the aircraft: Substan	tially damaged
Aircraft	(i) Outer skin of forward fuselage (both 1	eft and right):Deformed
inciait	(ii) Stringer of forward fuselage (left): D	aformed
	(iii) Stiffener in the engine room (both le	ft and right): Deformed
	(iv) Stringer attaching rivet hole of left f	orward fusalago. Crackod
	(v) Fire well: Deformed	orwaru luselage. Orackeu
	Figure 2: Accident aircraft	Figure 3: Deformed outer skin of forward fuselage
2.4 Personnel	(1) Examinee Male, Age 37	of for ward recorage
Information	Commercial pilot certificate (Airplane)	July 11, 2007
	Type rating for multi-engine land	July 11, 2007
	Instrument flight certificate (airplane)	April 4, 2008
	Class 1 aviation medical certificate	<b>1</b> /
	Validity	March 15, 2019
	Total flight time	792 hours 32 minutes
	Flight time in the last 30 days	14 hours 00 minutes
	Total flight time on the type of aircraft	34 hours 30 minutes
	Flight time in the last 30 days	10 hours 00 minutes
	(2) Instructor Male Age 43	
	Commercial nilot certificate (Airplane)	September 1–2000
	Type rating for single-engine land	September 1, 2000
	Type rating for multi-engine land	September 26, 2000
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane)	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane)	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity Total flight time	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019 5,314 hours 38 minutes
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity Total flight time Flight time in the last 30 days	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019 5,314 hours 38 minutes 38 hours 10 minutes
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity Total flight time Flight time in the last 30 days Total flight time on the type of aircraft	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019 5,314 hours 38 minutes 38 hours 10 minutes 102 hours 31 minutes
	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity Total flight time Flight time in the last 30 days Total flight time on the type of aircraft Flight time in the last 30 days	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019 5,314 hours 38 minutes 38 hours 10 minutes 102 hours 31 minutes 22 hours 15 minutes
2.5 Aircraft	Type rating for multi-engine land Instrument flight certificate (airplane) Flight instructor certificate (airplane) Class 1 aviation medical certificate Validity Total flight time Flight time in the last 30 days Total flight time on the type of aircraft Flight time in the last 30 days (1) Type of aircraft	September 26, 2000 September 26, 2000 January 11, 2018 January 27, 2019 5,314 hours 38 minutes 38 hours 10 minutes 102 hours 31 minutes 22 hours 15 minutes Textron Aviation 172S

	Date of manufacture August 26, 2016
	Certificate of airworthiness No.DAI-2017-644
	Validity February 1, 2019
	(2) When the accident occurred, the weight and balance of the aircraft are
	estimated to have been within the allowable ranges.
2.6	Aviation special weather report at Chitose airfield(13:20)
Meteorological	Wind direction 170°; Wind velocity 12 kt; Prevailing visibility; 10 km or more
Information	Cloud: Amount 1/8, Type: Stratus, Cloud base: 400 ft
	Amount 7/8, Type: Stratus, Cloud base: 600 ft
	Amount 7/8, Type: Stratus, Cloud base: 900 ft
	Temperature 20 °C; Dew point 19 °C; Light shower rain
	Altimeter setting (QNH): 29.89 inHg
	Wind direction and wind velocity last reported by air traffic control were 180°
	and 13 kt.
2.7 Additional	(1) Information on FDM
Information	installed in the contex of the coiling that is capable
	of recording the cocknit audio and images and the
	flight data including the vertical acceleration
	speed by built-in sensor (GPS/IMU) for about four
	hours, and the record at the time of the accident
	was retained in the device.
	(2) Record of FDM
	<ul><li>(2) Record of FDM</li><li>The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is</li></ul>
	<ul><li>(2) Record of FDM</li><li>The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing</li></ul>
	<ul><li>(2) Record of FDM</li><li>The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown.</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>(2) Record of FDM</li> <li>The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown.</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>(2) Record of FDM         The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown.     </li> </ul>
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $100 \frac{100}{60} \frac{100}{60} \frac{100}{100} \frac{60}{50} \frac{100}{45} \frac{100}{100} \frac{100}{45} \frac{100}{100} \frac{100}{45} \frac{100}{100} \frac{100}{100} \frac{100}{45} \frac{100}{100} \frac$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $100 \frac{100}{60} \frac{60}{45} $
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $100 \frac{100}{60} 100$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $100 \frac{66}{40} 6$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \frac{100}{100} \int_{0}^{0} 1$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\frac{100}{90} \frac{100}{90} $
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\frac{100}{00} \frac{100}{00} \frac{100}{0} \frac$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{0} \frac{1}{9} \int_{0}^{$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $\int_{0}^{0} \int_{0}^{0} \int$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. $ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing ight data during the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the landing the time of the accident recorded the loud sound of the accident recorded the accident recorded the loud sound of the accident recorded t$
	(2) Record of FDM The fight data during the time of the accident recorded in the FDM is shown in Figure 5. Besides, the FDM recorded the loud sound of the landing gears at touchdown. 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100



(0°-10° below 110 KIAS, 10°- FULL below 85 KIAS)
(3) Airspeed at the final approach • • • • • • 60-70 KIAS (flaps DOWN)
(4) Touchdown • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(5) Landing roll • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • · lower nose wheel gently
(7) Bouncing
Airplane Operation Textbook published by the Japan Civil Aviation
Promotion Foundation states a bouncing as following :
4.4.2 Mishandling during Landing Maneuver
(omitted)
(7) Bounce
A Bounce occurs when an aircraft has touched down before taking an
appropriate landing attitude. In other words, a bounce occurs when an
aircraft has delayed in taking a landing attitude and as a result of an
abrupt raise of attitude to a landing attitude immediately before
touchdown, and the severity of bounce depends on the force when an
aircraft lands on the runway.
(omitted)
In the event of a slight bounce without an abrupt change in a pitch
attitude, maintain the direction, apply power to cushion the touchdown
and smoothly maneuver to establish a landing attitude prior to the
subsequent touchdown. Repeating this bounce generates movements as
if a dolphin has jumped, which is called porpoise and is dangerous.
When a bounce is severe, execute a go-around immediately. This is
because there is a possible risk to enter a stall before the landing after
the bounce.
(omitted)
(8) Training and Education by Japan Coast Guard
Prior to the subject practical examination, the training for change of the
rating was conducted to examinees who experienced multi-engine land
airplane in accordance with aircraft training regulation stipulated by Japan
Coast Guard. There was no concern observed in the evaluation of the examinee
in the training.
In the ground school at the initial stage of the training for the rating
change, Japan Coast Guard educated, as a general caution, to prepare for a
subsequent touchdown by maintaining the attitude in the event of occurrence
of a bounce, and to execute a go-around in the event of a severe bounce or
entering a porpoise.

3.	ANALYSIS

3.1 Involvement	None
of Weather	
3.2 Involvement	Yes
of Pilot	
3.3 Involvement	None
of Aircraft	

3.4 Analysis of	(1) The First Touchdown and Bounce
Findings	It is highly probable that the aircraft passed over the runway threshold
	at an airspeed of about 72 kt and was descending at an unstable pitch angle.
	The aircraft started increasing its pitch angle about 3 seconds before its
	first touchdown followed by decreasing its pitch angle about 2 seconds before
	its touchdown, then it touched down at around airspeed of 62 kt with increasing
	its pitch angle again about 0.5 seconds before its touchdown.
	From the above it is highly probable that the aircraft bounced because it
	touched down with abruptly raising its attitude to a landing attitude
	immediately before its touchdown with high airspeed.
	(2) The Second Touchdown and Porpoise
	It is highly probable that the examinee who had previously experienced
	a bounce strived to maintain a landing attitude after a bounce by the first
	touchdown; however, he was unable to control the nose down properly, touched
	down on the nose gear and continued landing, that led the aircraft to enter
	porpoise condition where bounces were repeated because the pitch angle
	changed from $3.55^{\circ}$ to $-2.82^{\circ}$ .
	Both the examinee and the instructor continued landing presuming that
	the bounce of the nose at the second touchdown would subside ; however, it is
	probable that they should have judged that safe landing was possibly infeasible
	to conduct at the time when the nose bounced, and should have immediately
	gone around.
	(3) The Third Touchdown and Occurrence of Damage to Airframe
	The aircraft entered porpoise condition at the second touchdown, then –
	6.01° of the pitch angle, +4.03 of the vertical acceleration (G) and the loud sound
	of the landing gear were recorded at the third touchdown, therefore, it is highly
	probable that the airframe was damaged by severe touchdown of the nose gear
	in pitch down attitude at the third touchdown.
	(4) FDM
	The accident aircraft was equipped with FDM, its record was useful to
	analyze the flight situation of the accident aircraft in detail in this accident
	investigation.
	FDM stores various kinds of flight data and the cockpit audio and image,
	and it is probable that the extraction of unsafe factors in regular flights and
	the confirmation of the training results and others are able to be done by
	analyzing such data.
	It is desired that Japan Coast Guard and other small aircraft operators
	positively encourage the introduction of FDM and effectively utilize it for
	enhanced safety.

#### 4. PROBABLE CAUSES

In this accident, it is highly probable that the aircraft suffered damages because it entered porpoise condition after the bounce at the first touchdown, and touched down hard on the nose gear in pitch down attitude at the third touchdown.

#### 5. SAFETY ACTIONS

After the accident, Japan Coast Guard has implemented following measures in order to prevent recurrence.

- (1) Review of aircraft operation manual and training manual of Japan Coast Guard.
  - (i) to stipulate "airspeed" and "pitch attitude" as the criteria at touchdown
  - (ii) to stipulate go-around policy
  - (iii) to stipulate the responsibilities of examinees and instructors for safety at the time of practical examination
- (2) Implementation of reeducation
  - (i) Reconfirmation of fundamental items of various elements including modified recurrence prevention measures has been implemented.
  - (ii) Education on abnormal touchdown has been implemented.
  - (iii) Training utilizing simulator has been implemented.
- (3) Reconfirmation of other aircraft cases, due to prevent recurrence, by studying not only the abnormal touchdown cases but also widely other cases.